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TED XML release 2019

Why this release?

• To take into account requests or anomalies reported to helpdesk and support field size, link to procurement documents,…

• To reduce some production obstacles eMail, Url, previous publication, …

• To improve the publication’s quality information in award section, …

• To collect reliable statistics data National ID, Nuts, number of tenders, …
TED XML 2019 implementation

- July 3rd: Draft release creation
- DG Grow consultation of MS about field length
  - Collection of the change requests
  - Transition period
  - Final release preparation
  - Comment Integration
  - Oct. 10th: Go live soft rules
  - Dec. 10th: Go live final Release
  - Final release preparation
  - July 3rd: Release available
  - Oct. 10th: Go live final Release
TED XML release 2019

Transition plan
Validation tool version 032-20191001 contains the new validation rules with a lowered severity ("Warning" instead of "Error") compared to the one that will be applied after the 10th December 2019.

027-20181122 032-20191001 033-20191201
10/10/2019 10/12/2019
TED XML release 2019

Minor release
Backward compatible at the level of the XSD
Composed of schemas

- \textit{XSD R2.0.8.S04} 003-20180515
- \textit{XSD R2.0.9.S03} 009-20190628

and

Validation tool version for the transition (October 10th)

- \textit{XSLT} 032-20191001

Validation tool version for the GO Live (December 10th)

- \textit{XSLT} 033-20191201
Main features (1)

• Increase maximum length of several fields, after MS consultation
• Warning on missing information in Award of contract section of Defence form
• Review and externalize patterns on EMAIL and URL
• Accept date in section IV.2.2 of Periodic Indicative notice
• Allow links to procurement documents in section I.3 of PIN Only notices
# Field length review

- 46 fields have been extended

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section number</th>
<th>Field label</th>
<th>Current maximum</th>
<th>New Size Limit</th>
<th>xsd</th>
<th>xslt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internet address (URL)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.1.1)</td>
<td>Object / Title</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.1.4)</td>
<td>Object / Short description</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2.4)</td>
<td>Description of the procurement</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2.5)</td>
<td>Award criteria / Name</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2.5)</td>
<td>Award criteria / Weighting</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III.1.4) Objective rules and criteria for participation
List and brief description of rules and criteria:

III.1.6) Deposits and guarantees required:

<WARNING RULE="R999">string-length(RULES_CRITERIA) exceeds 1000: Text size limit exceeded.</WARNING>
<WARNING RULE="R999">string-length(DEPOSIT_GUARANTEE_REQUIRED) exceeds 1000: Text size limit exceeded.</WARNING>

• RULES_CRITERIA extended from 1000 to 8000
• DEPOSIT_GUARANTEE_REQUIRED extended from 1000 to 2000

<ERROR RULE="R999">string-length(DEPOSIT_GUARANTEE_REQUIRED) exceeds 2000: Text size limit exceeded.</ERROR>
Rules on Award notices in R2.0.8

The following information is checked:
(like in R2.0.9 forms)

• V.1) Date of award decision (CONTRACT_AWARD_DATE)
• V.2) Number of offers received (OFFERS_RECEIVED_NUMBER)
• V.3) Official name + Town + Country,
  (ECONOMIC_OPERATOR_NAME_ADDRESS [OFFICIALNAME, TOWN, COUNTRY])
• V.4) Total final value: Value or (Lowest and Highest),
  (COSTS_RANGE_AND_CURRENCY [VALUE_COST, LOW_VALUE, HIGH_VALUE]).
Rules on Award notices in R2.0.8

<WARNING RULE="R219"> AWARD_OF_CONTRACT_DEFENCE[]/OFFERS_RECEIVED_NUMBER missing: Section V.2 Information about offers: number of offers received is mandatory.</WARNING>

<WARNING RULE="R220"> AWARD_OF_CONTRACT_DEFENCE[]/ECONOMIC_OPERATOR_NAME_ADDRESS[.//OFFICIALNAME, .//TOWN, .//COUNTRY] missing/incomplete: Section V.3 Name and address of economic operator in favour of whom the contract award decision has been taken: name, town, country are required.</WARNING>

<WARNING RULE="R250"> AWARD_OF_CONTRACT_DEFENCE[]/CONTRACT_VALUE_INFORMATION/COSTS_RANGE_AND_CURRENCY_WITH_VAT_RATE[VALUE_COST or RANGE_VALUE_COST] missing: Section V.2.4 Total final value of the contract: is mandatory.</WARNING>
### R105 on eMail

E-mail: beatrix.velimirovici@bbraun.com; dana.ecobici@bbraun.com; razvan.persa@bbraun.com; simona.teslevici@bbraun.com; ramona.petru@bbraun.com; ancuta.gaita@bbraun.com; loredana.spain@bbraun.com

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Checklist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R105</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Warning</td>
<td><strong>E_MAIL</strong> with value '<a href="mailto:beatrix.velimirovici@bbraun.com">beatrix.velimirovici@bbraun.com</a>; <a href="mailto:dana.ecobici@bbraun.com">dana.ecobici@bbraun.com</a>; <a href="mailto:razvan.persa@bbraun.com">razvan.persa@bbraun.com</a>; <a href="mailto:simona.teslevici@bbraun.com">simona.teslevici@bbraun.com</a>; <a href="mailto:ramona.petru@bbraun.com">ramona.petru@bbraun.com</a>; <a href="mailto:ancuta.gaita@bbraun.com">ancuta.gaita@bbraun.com</a>; <a href="mailto:loredana.spain@bbraun.com">loredana.spain@bbraun.com</a>': The email pattern requires only one @ character and accepts all characters except the punctuation signs (comma, semicolon), the separator, non-Latin and non-word characters (maximal string length is 200 char).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<ERROR RULE="R105">E_MAIL with value 'beatrix.velimirovici@bbraun.com; dana.ecobici@bbraun.com; razvan.persa@bbraun.com; simona.teslevici@bbraun.com; ramona.petru@bbraun.com; ancuta.gaita@bbraun.com; loredana.spain@bbraun.com': The value encoded does not comply with the pattern; **it must contain only one email address**; the maximal string length is 250 char.</ERROR>
R125 on URL

Invalid URLs reported by TED Website


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internetové adresy:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<ERROR RULE="R125">URL_GENERAL with value 'www.https://www.okas.cz/': The value encoded does not comply with the pattern; it should start with 'http://', 'https://', ...; it must contain only one url; the maximal string length is 250 char.</ERROR>

<ERROR RULE="R125">URL_BUYER with value 'www.https://www.tenderarena.cz/': The value encoded does not comply with the pattern; it should start with 'http://', 'https://', ...; it must contain only one url; the maximal string length is 250 char.</ERROR>
Time limit in Periodic indicative notice

In F04 form, date in section IV.2.2 "DATE_RECEIPT_TENDERS"

• is mandatory for "PER_CALL_COMPETITION", and
• is optional for "PER_ONLY" and for "PER_REDUCING_TIME_LIMITS".
Allow URL procurement documents in PIN

In standard form F01, section 1.3, we have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.3) Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ The procurement documents are available for unrestricted and full direct access, free of charge at: [URL] ¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Access to the procurement documents is restricted. Further information can be obtained at: [URL] ¹²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information can be obtained from
- the abovementioned address
- another address: *(please provide another address)*

With footnote 12:

*please provide this information here or in the invitation to confirm interest, if the notice is a call for competition or aims at reducing time limits for receipt of tenders*

Until now, this has been interpreted as: if it is not a PIN CFC/RTL, then the information is not present.

The reasoning now is: the information can be present (optional) also in a PIN only.

*(Corresponding rule has been removed)*
Main features (2)

• Enforce the Original notice reference in section VI.6 of Corrigendum
• Check compatibility between country code and Nuts code in addresses
• Check Official name of Review body compared to Official name of Contracting Authority
• Check that National ID is likely to be correct
• Review the severity of some rules
R710 on reference notice

In a corrigendum, this rule alerts

• if in section VI.6, original reference, other means is checked (COMPLEMENTARY_INFO, ORIGINAL_OTHER_MEANS)

• and there is no “Notice number in the OJS” (NOTICE_NUMBER_OJ)

<ERROR RULE="R710">ORIGINAL_OTHER_MEANS and missing NOTICE_NUMBER_OJ: S6-06: Notice number in the OJ S: mandatory if the original notice has been sent via other means than eNotices and TED eSender.</ERROR>
Rule on NUTS in addresses

Two first letters of NUTS code are compared to the Country code (ISO-2 like we use currently in TED),

Except for

- **Greece**, country = GR, NUTS starting with EL,
- **Guadeloupe**, country = GP, NUTS starting with FR,
- **Martinique**, country = MQ, NUTS starting with FR,
- **Guyane**, country = GF, NUTS starting with FR,
- **La Réunion**, country = RE, NUTS starting with FR,
- **Mayotte**, country = YT, NUTS starting with FR,
- **Saint-Martin**, country = MF, NUTS starting with FR,
- **Aland island**, country = AX, NUTS starting with FI,
- **Gilbraltar**, country = GI, NUTS starting with UK,

And for other country codes, having no NUTS codes, NUTS = 00.
R127 on NUTS

Pforzheim
75179
Deutschland
NUTS-Code: CH0

<ERROR RULE="R127">ADDRESS_CONTRACTOR[COUNTRY DE and NUTS CH0]: The country code and the NUTS code are not compatible; for a country having no NUTS, please use the code '00'.</ERROR>
R711 on Official name in Review Body

Section I: Contracting authority
1.1) Name and addresses
Lyngen Kommune

VI.4) Procedures for review
VI.4.1) Review body
Lyngen kommune

<WARNING RULE="R711">
ADDRESS_REVIEW_BODY/OFFICIALNAME='Lyngen kommune',
ADDRESS_CONTRACTING_BODY/OFFICIALNAME='Lyngen Kommune':
S6-04-01: please verify the content of the review body official name field. The review body and the contracting authority shouldn't be the same institution/entity.</WARNING>
R126 on National ID

This rule aims to encourage the users to provide this information; it will remain a warning until eForms regulation.

Section I: Contracting authority
I.1) Name and addresses
Horse Racing Ireland (HRI)
N/A

V.2.3) Name and address of the contractor
Pilatus Aircraft Ltd
Pilatus

<WARNING RULE="R126">ADDRESS_CONTRACTING_BODY/NATIONALID with value 'N/A': This value does not seem to be the national registration number, please verify the content.</WARNING>

<WARNING RULE="R126">ADDRESS_CONTRACTOR/NATIONALID with value 'Pilatus': This value does not seem to be the national registration number, please verify the content.</WARNING>
Rules with severity (Error)

- R105 on the eMail
- R125 on the URL
- R127 on compatibility between country code and Nuts
- R388 on main CPV code
- R560 on previous publication (contract award)
- R710 on original notice reference (corrigendum)
- R999 on maximum field length
### R388 on CPV

#### II.1.2) **Main CPV code**
15000000

#### II.1.3) **Type of contract**
Services

#### II.1.4) **Short description:**
Catering aérien pour les passagers et les équipages de 15 Wing transport aérien, marché pluriannuel (48 mois) de services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Checklist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R388</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Warning</td>
<td>OBJECT_CONTRACT[[SERVICES, 15000000]: S2-01-02: Main CPV code inconsistent with the type of contract: Supplies corresponds to CPV code starting from 0 to 44 and with 48, Works with 45, Services from 49 to 98.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<ERROR RULE="R388">OBJECT_CONTRACT[[SERVICES, 15000000]: S2-01-02: Main CPV code inconsistent with the type of contract: Supplies corresponds to CPV code starting from 0 to 44 and with 48, Works with 45, Services from 49 to 98.</ERROR>
R560 on previous publication

In a Contract award notice,

IV.1.1) Type of procedure
Open procedure

IV.1.3) Information about a framework agreement or a dynamic purchasing system

IV.1.6) Information about electronic auction

IV.1.8) Information about the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA)
The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement: yes

IV.2) Administrative information

IV.2.1) Previous publication concerning this procedure
R560 on previous publication

Current message / message under new release

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Checklist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R560</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Warning</td>
<td>PT_OPEN and missing NOTICE_NUMBER_OJ: S4-02-01: Previous publication: mandatory except in case of Award of a contract without prior publication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<ERROR RULE="R560">PT_OPEN and missing NOTICE_NUMBER_OJ: S4-02-01: Please fill in the previous publication date which is mandatory according to the given procedure.</ERROR>
Rules with severity Warning

- R126 on the validity check of National ID
- R711 on the Official name of Review body compared to Official name of Contracting Authority
- Rules on the mandatory information in Award of contract section of Defence form
- Rules on the number of tenders in section V.2.2 ( R612, R613, R614, R615, R616, R617 )
## R612 on Number of tenders

### V.2.2) Information about tenders

| Number of tenders received: [ ] |
| Number of tenders received from SMEs: [ ] (SME – as defined in Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC) |
| Number of tenders received from tenderers from other EU Member States: [ ] |
| Number of tenders received from tenderers from non-EU Member States: [ ] |
| Number of tenders received by electronic means: [ ] |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Checklist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R612</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Info</td>
<td>AWARD_CONTRACT[1]//TENDERS[ missing NB_TENDERS_RECEIVED_SME]: S5–02–02: Information about tenders: all information of this section is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R612</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Info</td>
<td>AWARD_CONTRACT[1]//TENDERS[ missing NB_TENDERS_RECEIVED_OTHER_EU]: S5–02–02: Information about tenders: all information of this section is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R612</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Info</td>
<td>AWARD_CONTRACT[1]//TENDERS[ missing NB_TENDERS_RECEIVED_NON_EU]: S5–02–02: Information about tenders: all information of this section is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
R612 on Number of tenders

The rule aims to encourage the users to provide this information; it will remain a warning until eForms regulation.

<WARNING RULE="R612">AWARD_CONTRACT[1]/TENDERS[ missing NB_TENDERS_RECEIVED_SME]: S5-02-02: Information about tenders: all information of this section is required.</WARNING>
TED XML 2020: one year implementation cycle

- Collection of the change requests CHR
- CHR closure By end of March
- Questions and answers phase
- Draft release closure
- Release announcement By end of April
- Draft release available Beg. of July
- Draft release comments integration/review
- Testing phase
- Transition period
- GO Live Beg. of Oct.
- Final release publication Beg. of Sept.
- End of transition Beg. of Dec.
Questions?

Thank you!